## LATTA MIDDLE 602 North Richardson Street Latta, SC 29536 6-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 405 Students Martha W. Heyward 843-752-7117 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. John M. Kirby, Jr. 843-752-7101 BOARD CHAIR Harold Kornblut 843-752-5178 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 2 19 20 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 17 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

1

Z

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003	Average	Below Average	No
2004	Average	Average	No

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

94.8%

#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

28.9 36.1 12.0 43.3 17.6

Our School



Middle Schools with Students like Ours



Mathematics

**English/Language Arts** 

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

#### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded

expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Balaw Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

**Below Basic** 

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

**NOTE:** Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	sting fed	% Below Basis	ر پر   ا	, / see		% Proficient and	Performance Objective	ation Met
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	Below	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	Proficie Vançes	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Met
	/ m g.	/	/ %	/	/	/ ~	1 % A	~ õ	/~~/
Englis	h/Langua	ge Arts - S	State Perf	ormance	Objective	= 17.6%			
All Students	402	99.3	35.3	44.2	17.8	2.7	28.8	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	211	100.0	43.9	42.4	11.6	2.0	23.2		
Female	191	98.4	25.4	46.2	24.9	3.5	35.3		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	208	99.5	25.0	45.3	25.0	4.7	41.7	Yes	Yes
African-American	180	98.9	45.5	44.2	9.7	0.6	15.2	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status				,					
Not Disabled	356	99.7	32.2	45.8	19.3	2.7	31.3		
Disabled	46	95.7	61.5	30.8	5.1	2.6	7.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status				,					
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	402	99.3	35.3	44.2	17.8	2.7	28.8		
English Proficiency				,					
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	402	99.3	35.3	44.2	17.8	2.7	28.8		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	276	99.3	44.1	44.9	10.2	0.8	17.3	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	124	99.2	16.2	42.7	34.2	6.8	53.8	1	1 1

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	402	99.3	28.0	42.9	16.7	12.4	39.1	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	211	100.0	34.8	39.4	14.6	11.1	34.8		
Female	191	98.4	20.2	46.8	19.1	13.9	43.9		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	208	99.5	20.3	41.7	18.2	19.8	49.0	Yes	Yes
African American	180	98.9	34.5	44.8	16.4	4.2	29.1	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	356	99.7	24.1	44.3	18.4	13.3	42.5		
Disabled	46	95.7	61.5	30.8	2.6	5.1	10.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	402	99.3	28.0	42.9	16.7	12.4	39.1		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	402	99.3	28.0	42.9	16.7	12.4	39.1		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	276	99.3	35.0	45.3	13.4	6.3	29.1	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	124	99.2	12.8	37.6	23.9	25.6	60.7		

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Latta Milutic											
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	_			
		Englis	sh/Langua	age Arts							
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Grade 6	140	99.3	37.0	44.4	18.5	N/A	18.5				
Grade 7	126	99.2	33.9	52.1	13.2	0.8	14.0				
Grade 8	119	99.2	35.8	51.4	11.9	0.9	12.8				
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Grade 6	147	100.0	49.0	35.9	14.5	0.7	15.2				
Grade 7	139	100.0	26.3	46.7	22.6	4.4	27.0				
Grade 8	118	97.4	28.6	58.0	11.6	1.8	13.4				

Mathematics										
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	140	99.3	37.0	25.9	14.8	22.2	37.0			
Grade 7	126	100.0	21.5	54.5	16.5	7.4	24.0			
Grade 8	119	99.2	20.2	64.2	13.8	1.8	15.6			
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	147	100.0	29.7	42.1	16.6	11.7	28.3			
Grade 7	139	100.0	25.5	40.9	18.2	15.3	33.6			
Grade 8	118	97.4	29.5	50.9	13.4	6.3	19.6			

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 405)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No change	12.2%	14.6%
Retention rate	12.3%	N/A	4.1%	3.0%
Attendance rate	94.7%	Up from 92.2%	95.5%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	7.0%		6.6%	5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	6.5%		6.2%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	15.2%	Up from 14.4%	11.7%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	10.8%	Down from 13.1%	14.1%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	11.1%	Down from 35.8%	5.7%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.2%	Up from 0.3%	1.1%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	1.2%	Up from 0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 24)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	54.2%	Up from 52.4%	47.9%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	79.2%	Up from 66.7%	81.1%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers**	95.5%	N/A	88.9%	90.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	8.7%		4.9%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	86.3%	Down from 87.5%	83.4%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	93.1%	Down from 95.6%	95.0%	94.8%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$36,843 7.2 days	Down 0.4% Down from 10.9 day	\$39,103 s 10.1 days	\$40,566 11.0 days
School	1.2 days	Down nom 10.9 day	5 10.1 days	11.0 days
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 13.0	4.0	3.3
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	22.9 to 1	Up from 22.4 to 1	21.4 to 1	21.3 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.1%	Up from 86.5%	89.5%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,761	Up 13.3%	\$5,556	\$5,821
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	53.0%	Down from 64.7%	63.1%	61.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	98.8%	Up from 70.4%	96.6%	95.0%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good
Highly qualified to allow in law	aabaala**	Our District		tate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		N/A		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schoois^^	N/A State Objectiv		.1% e Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		es Objective
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		Vo
**NOTE: The verification process was not completed	for the year ror		-	
NOTE. The verification process was not completed	i ioi iiie year rep	onteu, ineretore the count of f	nginy quanned teachers	may not be accu

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2003-2004 school year was interesting, informative, and productive. The number one goal was to continue to improve the overall academic level of each student as well as the school's climate and physical environment. The front entrance of the school was one of the first areas addressed in assisting our school's physical environment. The PTO helped to make this a reality. Our success can be attributed to team work and high expectations.

Writing across the curriculum was inclusive of academic and nonacademic classes. All students were exposed to a technology class. This was due to the installation of our new computer lab, of which we are extremely proud to have. We continue to place emphasis on reading. Reading Counts is still in place but now has some positive changes. Three LMS students qualified for SC Junior Scholars. Also, our Academic Challenge Team was crowned Division Two Champions of the Pee Dee Regional 2004 Middle School Tournament. We ended the first semester with an extremely successful schoolwide service learning project during the month of December 2003.

Our media center greatly expanded its circulation. This expansion was due to revenues generated through a Federal Grant awarded to our district entitled, "Improving Literacy Through School Libraries." The center is also receiving some renovations. The science lab, PMD classroom, and dressing/restrooms of the gym are also being renovated. Additional security systems have been put in place.

To assist with classroom instruction, students were administered the MAP test during the second semester. Administrators and teachers have received training to utilize the test data generated from this assessment. Training in differentiated instruction, district-wide, will follow.

We developed additional means of communicating with parents, expanded the fine arts course offerings, and initiated a parent resource center. A multi-purpose courtyard is near completion. An outdoor classroom will be one of its many uses.

There is still much work to be done and we are up for the challenge. We have made progress and will continue to move forward. We encourage the continued support from all stakeholders. It is with the cooperation and support of our parents, community, and business friends working hand-in-hand with our school system that true success becomes inevitable.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	24	96	48						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	95.8%	76.0%	87.2%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.8%	81.3%	81.3%						
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	70.8%	78.1%	68.8%						

\*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.